

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/646,4	84 05/08	/96 MOON		В	27951-00023	
$\Gamma$						EXAMINER	
			LM21/0	707		-1 1	
	THOMAS L	. CRISMAN			THLA	NG.E ///	
	JENKENS	AND GILCHR	IST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	3200 FOU	NTAIN PLAC	one of the state o				
	1445 ROS	S AVENUE			2781	13	
	DALLAS T	X 75202-27	99		DATE MAILED:		
						07/07/98	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/646,484

Applicant(s)

Moon, Billy G.

Examiner

Eric S. Thlang

Group Art Unit 2781



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 29, 1998	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exte 37 CFR 1.136(a).	are to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	I THE FOLLOWING PAGES
	·

#### Response to Amendment

- 1. This application is a continuation application under 37 CFR §1.53 (d), Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) of prior application number 08/646,484, which filed on April 29, 1998. A preliminary amendment was filed concurrently with this application.
- 2. Claims 11-21 are presented for examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be found in a prior office action.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Independent claims 18 and 21 are allowable over the prior art of record. Since claims 19-20 depend upon independent claims 18 and 21 above, they are also allowable.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Klingler et al. (U.S. 5,732,625).

- 6. As to claims 11, Klingler eta l. teach a UART capable of operating in both full duplex and half duplex mode for providing single channel communications [see abstract and col. 3, lines 3-24], comprising a transmitter for transmitting signals on a single communications channel [e.g., see Fig. 1, elements# 6, 8]; a receiver for receiving signals on the single communications channel [Fig. 1, elements# 7, 9]; processing means for indicating whether the UART is operating in a full duplex mode of operating [see col. 1, lines 31-56]; and receiver control circuitry for disabling the receiver in response to the indication of a full duplex mode of operation by the processing means [col. 5, lines 1-5].
- 7. As to claim 12, Klingler et al. teach the means for storing data to be transmitted by the transmitter, the means for storing generating a signal indicating when data for transmission is contained therein [see col. 4, lines 36-49].
- 8. As to claim 13, Klingler et al. teach the receiver control circuitry further disables the receiver in response to the signal indicating data for transmission in the means for storing [see col. 4, lines 36-49].

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 9. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Klingler et al. (U.S. 5,732,625) in view of Hamilton (U.S. 5,175,766).
- 10. Hamilton (U.S. 5,175,766) was cited in the last office action.

- 11. As to claim 14, Klingler et al. do not explicitly teach a data store for queuing (signaling) data to be transmitted by the transmitter and a FIFO for passing data between the data store and the transmitter.
- 12. Hamilton (5175766) teaches a data store for queuing (signaling) data to be transmitted by the transmitter [see col. 3, lines 53-68] and a FIFO for passing data between the data store and the transmitter [col. 26, lines 60-68; col. 27, lines 1-9].
- 13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Hamilton within the system of Klingler because the object of Hamilton's teachings is to improve the quality of data transmission, and to provide a multichannel encryption unit that is compatible with another plurality of encryption schemes.
- 14. As to claim 15, Hamilton teaches the receiver control circuitry disables the receiver in response to signals indicating data stored within the data store and the FIFO [see col. 29, lines 47-68; col. 30, lines 19-29].
- 15. As to claim 16, Hamilton teaches the processing means further indicates whether a receiver enable flag has been set [see Fig. 5A, element# 506, 528; col. 60, lines 3-33].
- 16. As to claim 17, Hamilton teaches the receiver control circuitry further disables the receiver in response to the indication of whether the receiver enable flag has been set [see Fig. 5A, elements# 506, 528; col. 30, lines 19-29].

#### Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references are cited in the Form PTO-892 for the applicant's review.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric S. Thlang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-al An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-9051 or (703) 308-9052.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [Meng-al.An@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

**EST** 

June 30, 1998

MENG-AI T. AN PRIMARY EXAMINER